

# The Anomalous Hall Effect in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$

Branko P. Stojković and David Pines

*Department of Physics and Materials Research Laboratory,*

*1110 West Green Street, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801*

(February 6, 2008)

## Abstract

The temperature dependence of the normal state Hall effect and magnetoresistance in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  is investigated using the Nearly Antiferromagnetic Fermi Liquid description of planar quasiparticles. We find that highly anisotropic scattering at different regions of the Fermi surface gives rise to the measured anomalous temperature dependence of the resistivity and Hall coefficient while yielding the universal temperature dependence of the Hall angle observed for both clean and dirty samples. This universality is shown to arise from the limited momentum transfers available for the anomalous, spin fluctuation scattering and is preserved for any system with strong antiferromagnetic correlations.

Eight years of experiments on increasingly pure samples of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$  (YBCO) have demonstrated that, while ARPES experiments suggest that the planar quasiparticles possess a well defined Fermi Surface (FS), none of the spin [1] and charge [2] properties are those of a Landau Fermi Liquid (FL). NMR experiments show that there are strong antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations between neighboring  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  spins and that the spin-spin correlation function is strongly peaked at  $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$ , while transport measurements yield a planar resistivity which is approximately linear in temperature. The resistivity and optical properties are consistent with a quasiparticle spectrum for which the  $\text{Im}\Sigma(\mathbf{p}, \omega)$  is linear in the  $\max(\omega, T)$ , for  $\mathbf{p}$  close to the FS, while the effective mass enhancement is believed to be about 2. One of us has suggested that the above anomalies can be traced to a strong magnetic interaction between the quasiparticles [3]. The resulting system is called a Nearly Antiferromagnetic Fermi Liquid (NAFL).

While the NAFL model has been shown to be consistent, both qualitatively and quantitatively, with many experiments, including NMR, optical conductivity, resistivity, the superconducting transition temperature, and the role played by impurity scattering [3], a major challenge for the NAFL approach has been explaining anomalous transport in an applied magnetic field, where experiments show that the Hall conductivity is a strong function of temperature, yet the cotangent of the Hall angle has a very simple, universal behavior  $\cot\Theta_H = A + BT^2$ . This universality has been explained in terms of spin-charge separation [4] and has been considered as major support for that approach.

In this letter we report on calculations based on the NAFL model, of the Hall conductivity  $\sigma_H$  and resistivity  $\rho$ , using standard Boltzman transport theory. Recently Hlubina and Rice (HR) [5] explored this approach by solving the Boltzman Equation (BE) using a variational method [6]. For  $\rho(T)$  they found qualitative agreement with the earlier self-consistent Eliashberg calculation of Monthoux and Pines (MP) [7]. Here we extend the work of HR by solving the BE in a finite magnetic field  $B$ . We use the same band parameters as HR and MP,  $t = 0.25\text{eV}$  and  $t' = -0.45t$  with  $\mu = -1.465t$ , in agreement with ARPES. We assume that the effective (*magnetic*) interaction between quasiparticles is given by [8,9]

$$V^{eff}(\mathbf{q}) = g^2 \chi(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{g^2 A}{\omega_{sf} + \omega_{sf} \xi^2 (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{Q})^2 - i\omega} \quad (1)$$

where the spin-fluctuation (SF) energy  $\omega_{sf} = T_0 + \beta T$ , and  $\xi$  is the magnetic correlation length in units of the lattice constant  $a$ . For optimally doped YBCO the dimensionless parameter  $A \approx 1.1$ ,  $T_0 \approx 110\text{K}$  and  $\beta = 0.55$ , and the energy  $\omega_{sf} \xi^2 \approx 880\text{K}$  is very nearly independent of temperature [7].

In Boltzman theory one seeks the displacement  $\delta f_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathbf{k}} - f_0 = -\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial f_0 / \partial \epsilon)$  of the Fermi Surface (FS) in an external field, where  $f_0$  is the equilibrium Fermi distribution function. The transport coefficients are found straightforwardly from  $\mathbf{j} = e \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{v} \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}$ . We introduce the dimensionless quantities:  $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} \hbar / at$ ,  $b = B/B_0$ , where  $B_0 = \hbar c / ea^2 \approx 4300T$ . Obviously,  $b \ll 1$  for all applied fields of interest. We assume that  $\mathbf{E} = E \hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ . Then, the BE can be written as:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \left[ u_x - b \left( u_y \frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k_x} - u_x \frac{\partial \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k_y} \right) \right] f_0(\mathbf{k}) / I(\mathbf{k}, T) \quad (2)$$

where the linearized collision term is given by

$$I(\mathbf{k}, T) = \frac{g^2}{t^2} \int d\epsilon' \int \frac{dk'}{2\pi^2 |\mathbf{u}|} n(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'}) \text{Im} \chi(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'}) \left( \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}'}}{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}} - 1 \right) f_0(\mathbf{k}') \quad (3)$$

We solve the non-linear integral Eqs. (2) and (3) numerically on a fine mesh in momentum space with typically  $200 \times 200$  points in the Brillouin zone (BZ). We have verified that our results for the Hall conductivity practically do not vary for mesh sizes larger than  $100 \times 100$ . Our principal results are given in Figs. 1-3. The input parameters in the figures are as specified above for the fully doped YBCO, unless stated otherwise.

As may be seen in Fig. 1 our calculated result for the resistivity as a function of temperature is qualitatively similar to HR and MP; quantitatively it is closer to MP, especially at lower temperatures. This is as expected, since MP included lifetime effects by self-consistently calculating the real part of the self-energy  $\Sigma$ . HR found a somewhat higher resistivity, possibly due to their choice of variational function. It is encouraging that our result is close to the experimentally observed values. Note, however, that both HR and the

present calculation show a small non-linearity in  $\rho(T)$ , due to normal FL-like scattering. The latter contribution disappears at increased temperature, as can be seen in the inset of Fig. 1. We return to this point later.

Our results for the Hall conductivity (Fig. 2) are in good qualitative agreement with the experimental results of Ref. [10], although they overestimate the size of  $\sigma_H$ . The inset, which shows the same quantity as a function of magnetic field, indicates that we are in a low field regime, as is expected for a system with strong scattering [11,12]. Figure 3 is our main result: it shows that  $\cot \Theta_H$  obeys the simple form  $A + BT^2$ , in agreement with experiment.

We now consider the physical origin of these results, beginning with the resistivity. At first sight the above results seem surprising, since in a FL the temperature behavior of the Hall angle is the same as that of the resistivity and one assumes a uniform temperature dependence of scattering along the FS. However, this is not the case for a NAFL where the effective interaction (1) depends strongly on the momentum transfer  $\mathbf{q}$ . As the calculations of HR and MP show, the quasiparticle lifetime  $\tau_{\mathbf{k}}$  is highly anisotropic, because the scattering is very strong for points  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$  such that  $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{Q}$ . As may be seen in Fig. 4, there are only a small number of such *regions* on the FS, called “hot spots” by HR. Nevertheless, as HR have shown [5], for  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \gg T_0$  the *average* quasiparticle lifetime is linear in the excitation energy  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ . In addition, the  $\mathbf{k}$  dependence of  $\tau$  is found to be large only away from hot spots on the Fermi surface, in regions where the scattering is no longer anomalous. It is the combination of these features which is responsible for the peculiar temperature dependence of both resistivity and the Hall angle.

For our orientation of  $\mathbf{E}$ , with  $B = 0$ ,  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(B = 0) \equiv \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^0$  can be written as  $u_x/I_0(\mathbf{k}, T)$  where  $I_0$  is given by Eqs. (2) and (3), while in a weak field  $B$ , one has  $\Phi(B) \approx \Phi^0 - (b/I_0)[u_y(\partial\Phi^0/\partial k_x) - u_x(\partial\Phi^0/\partial k_y)]$ . The conductivity  $\sigma_0$  and the Hall conductivity  $\sigma_H$  are averages of  $u_x\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $u_y\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$  around the FS; since  $I_0$  possesses the symmetry of the crystal lattice, the contribution of  $\Phi_0$  to  $\sigma_H$  vanishes identically. Thus,  $\sigma_0$  is an overage of  $u_x^2/I_0$  and  $\sigma_H$  is an average of  $u_y(b/I_0)[u_y\nabla_x(u_x/I_0) - u_x\nabla_y(u_x/I_0)]$  around the FS. The integral over  $\epsilon'$  in Eq. (3) can be done analytically for  $\mathbf{k}$  on the FS. It equals  $\pi T[(2\omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} )^{-1} +$

$\sum_n (-1)^n (\omega_{k-k'} + n\pi T)^{-1}$ ], where  $\omega_q = \omega_{sf} + \omega_{sf}\xi^2(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{Q})^2$ , and can be approximated by  $\pi T^2/2\omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'} + \pi T)$ . When  $T_0 \ll T \ll \omega_{sf}\xi^2$  we find, for  $\mathbf{k}$  in the middle of a hot spot, that  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^0$  is independent of  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\Phi^0 \sim u_x/\sqrt{T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2}$ .  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^0$  becomes  $\mathbf{k}$  dependent away from a hot spot and is given by  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^0 \sim u_x s^3/(T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^2$ , where  $s$  is the distance along the FS between  $\mathbf{k}$  and the center of the nearest hot spot. Experiment shows that for YBCO  $\xi \sim 2$  in temperature region  $100\text{K} < T < 300\text{K}$  (Ref. [13]). This means that the hot regions are fairly large: under these circumstances,  $\mathbf{k}$  is never too far from a hot spot (see Fig. 4). Since  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$  must have a maximum somewhere in-between two hot spots along the FS, the  $s$  dependence of  $\Phi$  is significantly altered: for  $s \sim \sqrt{T/\omega_{sf}}/\xi$  we now have  $\Phi \sim s/(T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^2$  over most of the FS. As a result  $\sigma \sim 1/T$ , and  $\rho \sim g^2(T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)$ . As shown in the inset of Fig. 1, a 10% change in  $\omega_{sf}\xi^2$  yields a change of slope in resistivity of about 10%. Note that so far we have only included the fact that  $\xi \sim 1$  and that the hot regions are symmetrically placed on the FS. It is important to note that the contribution of the equidistant regions from two adjacent hot spots is still  $\sim (T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^2$ . This is why one finds a small non-linearity in  $\rho(T)$  at lower temperatures, which vanishes as  $T$  becomes larger than  $T_0$  (see Fig. 1, and its inset) and the hot spots spread as  $s \sim \sqrt{T}$ . Our result is also consistent with the anisotropy of the self-energy found earlier [7].

The above arguments are independent of any special features of the FS. The actual shape of the FS is of little relevance to  $\sigma_0$ , provided it is large enough to allow for spin fluctuation scattering at  $\mathbf{Q}$  (Ref. [7]) and the Fermi velocity is finite everywhere on the FS. However, the Hall effect does depend quantitatively on the detailed shape of the FS. In general, the FS of several HTSC families is rather flat near hot spots, and curved away from them. Since  $\sigma_H$  involves a gradient of  $\Phi$ , it is plausible that in the regions where  $I_0$  varies rapidly with  $s$ , this gradient is dominated by the gradient of  $I_0$ ; moreover, the curved regions of the FS will contribute to the Hall effect mostly through the change in  $u_x$ . One easily finds that in the flat regions there is an additional temperature contribution,  $\partial\Phi/\partial s \sim (T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^2$ , to the Hall conductivity as opposed to resistivity, while in the curved regions one finds an additional contribution,  $\nabla u_x/(T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^2$ . Therefore, in both regions the Hall conductivity

has an extra factor of  $(T/\omega_{sf}\xi^2)^{-2}$ , which should show clearly in the temperature dependence of the Hall angle, regardless of the presence of the non-linear terms in the resistivity. This is indeed the case, as clearly shown in the inset of Fig. 3, where we have plotted  $\cot \Theta_H$  for two values of  $\xi$ . Notice a very slight bending of the two lines at very high temperatures. The present theory assumes that  $T \ll \omega_{sf}\xi^2$ ; when this is no longer the case, FL theory breaks down and  $\cot \Theta_H$  exhibits a deviation from the above universal behavior. We have verified by explicit calculation that for fully doped YBCO this occurs at approximately 700K (Ref. [14]).

An important test of the soundness of our approach is obtained by adding weak impurity scattering to the collision term in the BE. Impurity scattering might be expected to add a temperature independent term to  $I_0$ , so that  $\cot \Theta_H$  should be proportional to  $A + BT^2$ , where the slope  $B$  is unchanged from the clean case and  $A$  is proportional to the impurity concentration  $n_i$  (Ref. [14]). The results of our numerical calculations (Fig. 3) show that this is indeed the case:  $B$  is the same in clean and dirty cases to within 1%, while  $A$  is proportional to  $n_i$ , for  $n_i \sim 1\%$ . These results are consistent with a number of experiments [15–17].

Another challenge for the NAFL model is the existence of a small orbital magnetoresistance (MR), which, as has recently been observed in YBCO by Harris *et al* [18], does not obey the usual Köhler rule [14]. In calculations of  $\rho(B)$  at several temperatures we have verified this behavior. Not to our surprise, the relative MR,  $\Delta\rho/\rho$ , is found to have very strong temperature dependence and is of order  $\Theta_H^2$ , as is usually the case in FLs. The values we find are somewhat higher than those obtained experimentally [14].

In conclusion, we have shown that the energy and momentum dependence of the effective magnetic interaction in the NAFL model gives rise to an anomalous temperature dependence of both resistivity and the Hall conductivity, which are consistent with *all* transport measurements on fully doped  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ . The anomalous behavior originates in the hot spots which have a region of influence,  $\delta k \sim \sqrt{T}$ : as long as  $\chi$  is sharply peaked at  $\mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{Q}$ , with  $\xi \sim 1$ , this inevitably leads to the universal temperature behavior of  $\cot \Theta_H$ . Our results

depend strongly on the magnetic correlation length  $\xi$ , but are otherwise rather insensitive to the band parameters [14]. Their sensitivity to  $\xi$  provides a natural explanation of the experimentally observed noticeable differences in the slope of resistivity for rather small variations in  $T_c$  (Ref. [2]). In addition, since our results depend for the most part on the symmetric placement of the hot spots on the FS, and the existence of strong AF correlations, we expect similar results will hold for other HTSCs.

There are several unresolved issues. We always find a somewhat lower resistivity with a somewhat higher slope and a more negative intercept than that measured at lower temperatures. The slope depends strongly on the coupling constant  $g$  and the energy scale  $\omega_{sf}\xi^2$ , which are known only to within 10% accuracy; it may also be altered by strong coupling corrections. There are several possible explanations for the large negative intercept, which leads to somewhat higher values of both  $\sigma_H$  and the MR than are seen experimentally: small impurity scattering, spin-gap effects, and normal Fermion scattering, all seen experimentally in NMR measurements [1,8]. Imperfections which alter the local magnetic order change the magnetic quasiparticle interaction [7], and therefore affect the resistivity, while shifting  $\cot \Theta_H$  only marginally. Indeed, only the highest quality samples show small negative intercepts in  $\rho(T)$  [19]. Our calculations show that an impurity concentration of 1% reduces the size of  $\sigma_H$  to slightly below the experimentally obtained values, without significantly altering its qualitative behavior, while in addition to providing a residual resistivity, impurities act to remove the non-linearity seen in Fig. 1 (Ref. [14]). The spin pseudogap effect produces a curvature of the resistivity slightly above  $T_c$  (Ref. [13]), while normal Fermion scattering [8] reduces the Hall conductivity and somewhat increases the resistivity. We address these issues elsewhere [14].

We are indebted to V. Barzykin, G. Blumberg, D. Ginsberg, A. J. Leggett, M. Lercher, N. P. Ong, T. M. Rice, Q. Si, C. P. Slichter, A. Sokol, M. B. Weissman and Y. Zha for useful conversations. We thank the National Center for Supercomputing Applications for a grant of computer time. This research is supported in part by NSF through grants NSF-DMR 89-20538 (MRL at UIUC) and NSF-DMR 91-20000 (STCS).

## REFERENCES

- [1] See, e.g., C. P. Slichter, in *Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems*, ed. by K. S. Bedell *et al.*, (Addison Wesley, 1994).
- [2] See, e.g., N. P. Ong in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors*, ed. by D. M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), Vol. 2.
- [3] Recent Reviews of the NAFL approach may be found in D. Pines, *Physica C* **235-240**, 113 (1994), and in D. Pines, *High Temperature Superconductivity and the  $C^{60}$  Family*, ed. H. C. Ren, (Gordon and Breach, 1995), in press.
- [4] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 2092 (1991).
- [5] R. Hlubina and T. M. Rice, preprint.
- [6] J. H. Ziman, *Electrons and Phonons*, (Oxford, Oxford, 1960).
- [7] P. Monthoux and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 4261 (1994) and references therein.
- [8] P. Monthoux, A. Balatsky and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 14803 (1992).
- [9] A. Millis, H. Monien and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 167 (1990).
- [10] D. M. Ginsberg, W. C. Lee and S. E. Stupp, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 12167 (1993).
- [11] J. P. Rice, J. Giapintzakis, D. M. Ginsberg and J. M. Mochel, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 10158 (1991).
- [12] C. M. Hurd, in *The Hall Effect and Its Applications*, ed. by C. I. Chien and C. R. Westgate, (Plenum, New York, 1980).
- [13] V. Barzykin and D. Pines, preprint.
- [14] B. P. Stojković and D. Pines, unpublished.
- [15] T. R. Chien, Z. Z. Wang and N. P. Ong, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2088 (1991); see also J. M. Harris, Y. F. Fan and N. P. Ong, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 14293 (1992).



- [16] C. Kendziora, D. Mandrus, L. Mihaly and L. Forro, Phys. Rev. B **46** 14297 (1992).
- [17] A. Carrington, A. P. Mackenzie, C. T. Lin and J. R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2855 (1992).
- [18] J. M. Harris, Y. F. Yan, N. P. Ong and P. W. Anderson, preprint.
- [19] J. P. Rice and D. M. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. B **46** 12049 (1992).

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. The resistivity as a function of temperature in a NAFL (solid line). The dotted line shows the result of HR [5], the open circles show the result of MP [7], and triangles the experimentally obtained  $\rho_{aa}$  (Ref. [10]). We plot  $\rho$  only for  $T > 125\text{K}$ , since a *spin gap*, which may alter the interaction (1), forms below this temperature [14]. The parameters are given in the text. Inset: the NAFL results for  $\omega_{sf}\xi^2 = 880\text{K}$  (solid line) and  $970\text{K}$  (dashed line) at higher temperatures.

FIG. 2. The Hall conductivity  $\sigma_H$  as a function of temperature. The solid line shows the calculated result, while the triangles show the experimental results of Ref. [10]. Inset: NAFL results for  $\sigma_H$  as a function of the applied magnetic field at (top to bottom)  $T = 150, 200$  and  $250\text{K}$ .

FIG. 3. Cotangent of the Hall angle as a function of temperature for a NAFL. The solid and dotted lines represent clean and dirty samples respectively. Inset: results at higher  $T$  for  $\omega_{sf}\xi^2 = 880\text{K}$  (solid line) and  $970\text{K}$  (dashed line).

FIG. 4. The FS of YBCO (solid line) and the magnetic BZ (dashed line). The hot spots are the thick regions near the intercepts of the two lines.

